Soil, Plant & Pest Center

5201 Marchant Dr. | Nashville, TN 37211 615.832.5850 | <u>soillab@tennessee.edu</u> <u>soillab.tennessee.edu</u>





Forage Analysis Report

David Jones 1056 Hwy 131 Horn Hill, TN 37881 County: Hancock Email: dkpearson444@gmail.com Sample ID: July 2nd Cutting Lab Number: 116524 Reported: 8/8/2025

Type: Hay

Forage Species (Identified by Client): Mixed Grasses

Near-Infared Spectroscopy Analysis (NIRS)¹

Water Conte	nt	as receiv	ed
DM	Dry Matter	90	%
Moisture	Moisture	10	%
Protein		100% DM b	asis
СР	Crude Protein	18.36	%
ADICP	Acid Detergent Insoluble CP	1.05	%
NDICP	Neutral Detergent Insoluble CP	3.80	%
InsoICP	Insoluble Crude Protein	11.45	%
Lysine	Lysine	0.64	%
Fiber		100% DM b	asis
ADF	Acid Detergent Fiber	31.82	%
NDF	Neutral Detergent Fiber	62.26	%
Lignin	Lignin	4.79	%
Carbohydrates		100% DM b	asis
ESC	Sugar	4.85	%
Fructan	Fructan	2.30	%
Starch	Starch	1.28	%
WSC	Water Soluble Carbohydrates	6.89	%
NSC	Non-Structural Carbohydrates	8.17	%
NFC	Non-Fiber Carbohydrates	12.43	%
Digestibility		100% DM b	asis
IVTDMD48h	in-vitro True DM Digestibility 48h	74.66	%
NDFD48h	Neutral Detergent Fiber Digestibility 48h	51.00	%

¹ All nutritive analyses at 100% Dry Matter (DM) basis unless otherwise noted. Not all constituents are available for each forage type submitted to the Soil, Plant and Pest Center. Forage analysis calibrations provided by the NIRS Forage and Feed Consortium.

opy Analysis (NIRS)					
Fat		100% DM basis			
Fat	Fat	3.26 %			
Minera	ls	100% DM basis			
Ash	Ash	3.69 %			
Ca	Calcium	%			
Р	Phosphorus	%			
Mg	Magnesium	%			
K	Potassium	%			
Energy	Calculations	100% DM basis			
TDN	Total Digestible Nutrients	65.28 %			
DE	Digestible Energy	1.89 MCal/kg			
NE _m	Net Energy Maintenance	0.67 MCal/lb			
NEg	Net Energy Gain	0.41 MCal/lb			
NE _I	Net Energy Lacatation	0.67 MCal/lb			
Compo	nents	Wet Chemistry			
рН	Ensiled	рН			
NO ₃	Nitrates	622 ppm ²			
Calcula	ted Parameters ³	Scale			
RFQ	Relative Forage Quality	102			
RFV	Relative Feed Value	0			

 $^{^2} ppm = mg/kg$

³ Relative Forage Quality (RFQ) is reported for all grass, mixed, legume hays and haylages; and, Relative Feed Value (RFV) is reported for Alfalfa only. No nutritive value scale is available for corn silage

Forage Analysis Report

David Jones 1056 Hwy 131 Horn Hill, TN 37881

County: Hancock Email: dkpearson444@gmail.com Sample ID: July 2nd Cutting Lab Number: 116524 Reported: 8/8/2025

Type: Hay

Forage Species (Identified by Client): Mixed Grasses

Understanding Hay Quality

The graphs below are presented to provide a general guide to evaluate the Crude Protein (CP) and Total Digestible Nutrients (TDN) levels of the forage submitted for testing. If you need help understanding the results or information on developing a balanced ration for a specific animal(s), please contact your local UT Extension agent or visit https://doi.org/10.1007/journal.org/

Crude Protein (CP)

 Your Sample - 18.36%

 Low
 Medium
 Good
 Excellent

 Low = <8% | Medium = 8% to 10.9% | Good = 11% to 13.9% | Excellent = ≥14%</td>
 Excellent

Total Digestible Nutrients (TDN)

Your Sample - 65.28%			
Low	Medium	Good	Excellent

Low = <50% | Medium = 50% to 55% | Good = 55.1% to 59.9% | Excellent = ≥60%

_	Wet Chemistry					
IV	linerals		as	received		
	Ca	Calcium	0.78	%		
	Р	Phosphorus	0.36	%		
	Mg	Magnesium	0.50	%		
	K	Potassium	1.44	%		
	S	Sulfur	0.20	%		
	Cu	Copper	7	ppm ¹		
	Zn	Zinc	36	ppm		
	Mn	Manganese	275	ppm		
	Fe	Iron	304	ppm		
	В	Boron	2	ppm		

Mot Chamistm

Payment	Detail

Receipt: Amount: \$47.00 Method: 2570

Payment Date: 8/1/2025

Programs in agriculture and natural resources, 4-H youth development, family and consumer sciences, and resource development. University of Tennessee Institute of Agriculture, U.S.

Department of Agriculture and county governments cooperating. UT Extension provides equal opportunities in programs and employment.

 $^{^{1}}$ ppm = mg/kg