Soil, Plant & Pest Center

5201 Marchant Dr. | Nashville, TN 37211 615.832.5850 | soillab@tennessee.edu soillab.tennessee.edu





Forage Analysis Report

DAVID JONES 1056 HWY 131 THORN HILL, TN 37881 County: Hancock Email: DKPEARSON444@GMAIL.COM Sample ID: Aug 5th 2nd Cut Lab Number: 114800 Reported: 8/20/2024

Type: Hay

Forage Species (Identified by Client): Mixed Grasses

Near-Infared Spectroscopy Analysis (NIRS)¹

Water Conte	nt	as received	
DM	Dry Matter	91 %	
Moisture	Moisture	9 %	
Protein		100% DM basi	is
СР	Crude Protein	19.11 %	
ADICP	Acid Detergent Insoluble CP	1.03 %	
NDICP	Neutral Detergent Insoluble CP	3.26 %	
InsoICP	Insoluble Crude Protein	10.15 %	
Lysine	Lysine	0.67 %	
Fiber		100% DM basi	is
ADF	Acid Detergent Fiber	28.60 %	
NDF	Neutral Detergent Fiber	56.08 %	
Lignin	Lignin	4.16 %	
Carbohydrates		100% DM basi	is
ESC	Sugar	9.74 %	
Fructan	Fructan	2.62 %	
Starch	Starch	0.97 %	
WSC	Water Soluble Carbohydrates	10.90 %	
NSC	Non-Structural Carbohydrates	11.87 %	
NFC	Non-Fiber Carbohydrates	18.32 %	
Digestibility		100% DM basi	is
IVTDMD48h	in-vitro True DM Digestibility 48h	81.45 %	
NDFD48h	Neutral Detergent Fiber Digestibility 48h	62.00 %	

¹ All nutritive analyses at 100% Dry Matter (DM) basis unless otherwise noted. Not all constituents are available for each forage type submitted to the Soil, Plant and Pest Center. Forage analysis calibrations provided by the NIRS Forage and Feed Consortium.

opy Analysis (NIKS)					
Fat		100% DM basis			
Fat	Fat	3.87 %			
Minera	ls	100% DM basis			
Ash	Ash	2.62 %			
Ca	Calcium	%			
Р	Phosphorus	%			
Mg	Magnesium	%			
K	Potassium	%			
Energy	Calculations	100% DM basis			
TDN	Total Digestible Nutrients	68.65 %			
DE	Digestible Energy	1.97 MCal/kg			
NE _m	Net Energy Maintenance	0.72 MCal/lb			
NE_g	Net Energy Gain	0.45 MCal/lb			
NEı	Net Energy Lacatation	0.70 MCal/lb			
Compo	nents	Wet Chemistry			
рН	Ensiled	рН			
NO ₃	Nitrates	4149 ppm ²			
Calcula	ted Parameters ³	Scale			
RFQ	Relative Forage Quality	119			
RFV	Relative Feed Value	0			

 $^{^2} ppm = mg/kg$

³ Relative Forage Quality (RFQ) is reported for all grass, mixed, legume hays and haylages; and, Relative Feed Value (RFV) is reported for Alfalfa only. No nutritive value scale is available for corn silage

Forage Analysis Report

DAVID JONES 1056 HWY 131 THORN HILL, TN 37881

County: Hancock

Email: DKPEARSON444@GMAIL.COM

Sample ID: Aug 5th 2nd Cut Lab Number: 114800 Reported: 8/20/2024

Type: Hay

Forage Species (Identified by Client): Mixed Grasses

Understanding Hay Quality

The graphs below are presented to provide a general guide to evaluate the Crude Protein (CP) and Total Digestible Nutrients (TDN) levels of the forage submitted for testing. If you need help understanding the results or information on developing a balanced ration for a specific animal(s), please contact your local UT Extension agent or visit https://doi.org/10.1007/journal.org/

Crude Protein (CP)

 Your Sample - 19.11%

 Low
 Medium
 Good
 Excellent

 Low = <8% | Medium = 8% to 10.9% | Good = 11% to 13.9% | Excellent = ≥14%</td>
 Excellent

Total Digestible Nutrients (TDN)

Your Sample - 68.65%			
Low	Medium	Good	Excellent

Low = <50% | Medium = 50% to 55% | Good = 55.1% to 59.9% | Excellent = ≥60%

_	wet Chemistry				
N	linerals		as	received	
	Ca	Calcium	0.71	%	
	Р	Phosphorus	0.38	%	
	Mg	Magnesium	0.57	%	
	K	Potassium	1.01	%	
	S	Sulfur	0.17	%	
	Cu	Copper	7	ppm ¹	
	Zn	Zinc	31	ppm	
	Mn	Manganese	166	ppm	
	Fe	Iron	114	ppm	
	В	Boron	4	ppm	

Mot Chamistm

rayillelit	Detail

Receipt: Amount: \$47.00 Method: 2454

Payment Date: 8/12/2024

Programs in agriculture and natural resources, 4-H youth development, family and consumer sciences, and resource development. University of Tennessee Institute of Agriculture, U.S.

Department of Agriculture and county governments cooperating. UT Extension provides equal opportunities in programs and employment.

 $^{^{1}}$ ppm = mg/kg