Soil, Plant & Pest Center

5201 Marchant Dr. | Nashville, TN 37211 615.832.5850 | soillab@tennessee.edu soillab.tennessee.edu





Forage Analysis Report

DAVID JONES 1056 HWY 131 THORN HILL, TN 37881 County: Hancock Email: DKPEARSON444@GMAIL.COM Sample ID: JULY2 2ND CUTTING

Lab Number: 114599 Reported: 7/17/2024

Type: Hay

Forage Species (Identified by Client): Mixed Grasses

Near-Infared Spectroscopy Analysis (NIRS)¹

Water Content			ed
DM	Dry Matter		
Moisture	Moisture	10	%
Protein		100% DM	basis
СР	Crude Protein	13.91	%
ADICP	Acid Detergent Insoluble CP	0.65	%
NDICP	Neutral Detergent Insoluble CP	2.96	%
InsoICP	Insoluble Crude Protein	8.32	%
Lysine	Lysine	0.48	%
Fiber		100% DM	basis
ADF	Acid Detergent Fiber	32.39	%
NDF	Neutral Detergent Fiber	57.54	%
Lignin	Lignin	3.96	%
Carbohydrates		100% DM	basis
ESC	Sugar	9.07	%
Fructan	Fructan	2.00	%
Starch	Starch	1.03	%
WSC	Water Soluble Carbohydrates	10.98	%
NSC	Non-Structural Carbohydrates		%
NFC	Non-Fiber Carbohydrates	20.74	%
Digestibility		100% DM	basis
IVTDMD48h	in-vitro True DM Digestibility 48h	77.62	%
NDFD48h	Neutral Detergent Fiber Digestibility 48h	58.00	%

¹ All nutritive analyses at 100% Dry Matter (DM) basis unless otherwise noted. Not all constituents are available for each forage type submitted to the Soil, Plant and Pest Center. Forage analysis calibrations provided by the NIRS Forage and Feed Consortium.

	alysis (MIKS)		
Fat		100% DM basis	
Fat	Fat	3.24 %	
Minera	ls	100% DM basis	
Ash	Ash	4.57 %	
Ca	Calcium	%	
Р	Phosphorus	%	
Mg	Magnesium	%	
K	Potassium	%	
Energy	Calculations	100% DM basis	
TDN	Total Digestible Nutrients	64.68 %	
DE	Digestible Energy	1.82 MCal/kg	
NE_{m}	Net Energy Maintenance	0.66 MCal/lb	
NE_{g}	Net Energy Gain	0.40 MCal/lb	
NEI	Net Energy Lacatation	0.66 MCal/lb	
Components		Wet Chemistry	
рН	Ensiled	рН	
NO_3	Nitrates	216 ppm ²	
Calcula	ted Parameters ³	Scale	
RFQ	Relative Forage Quality	110	
RFV	Relative Feed Value	0	

 $^{^2} ppm = mg/kg$

³ Relative Forage Quality (RFQ) is reported for all grass, mixed, legume hays and haylages; and, Relative Feed Value (RFV) is reported for Alfalfa only. No nutritive value scale is available for corn silage

Forage Analysis Report

DAVID JONES 1056 HWY 131 THORN HILL, TN 37881

County: Hancock

Email: DKPEARSON444@GMAIL.COM

Sample ID: JULY2 2ND CUTTING Lab Number: 114599

Reported: 7/17/2024

Type: Hay
Forage Species (Identified by Client): Mixed Grasses

Understanding Hay Quality

The graphs below are presented to provide a general guide to evaluate the Crude Protein (CP) and Total Digestible Nutrients (TDN) levels of the forage submitted for testing. If you need help understanding the results or information on developing a balanced ration for a specific animal(s), please contact your local UT Extension agent or visit https://doi.org/10.1007/journal.org/

Crude Protein (CP)

 Your Sample - 13.91%

 Low
 Medium
 Good
 Excellent

 Low = <8% | Medium = 8% to 10.9% | Good = 11% to 13.9% | Excellent = ≥14%</td>
 Excellent

Total Digestible Nutrients (TDN)

	Your Sample - 64.6	8%		
Low	Medium	Good	Excellent	

Low = <50% | Medium = 50% to 55% | Good = 55.1% to 59.9% | Excellent = ≥60%

Wet Chemistry				
Minerals		as received		
Ca	Calcium	0.60 %		
Р	Phosphorus	0.33 %		
Mg	Magnesium	0.47 %		
K	Potassium	1.34 %		
S	Sulfur	0.17 %		
Cu	Copper	5 ppm ¹		
Zn	Zinc	19 ppm		
Mn	Manganese	86 ppm		
Fe	Iron	58 ppm		
В	Boron	4 ppm		

Mot Chamistm

Payment Details

Receipt: Amount: \$47.00 Method: 2444

Payment Date: 7/10/2024

Programs in agriculture and natural resources, 4-H youth development, family and consumer sciences, and resource development. University of Tennessee Institute of Agriculture, U.S.

Department of Agriculture and county governments cooperating. UT Extension provides equal opportunities in programs and employment.

 $^{^{1}}$ ppm = mg/kg